

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/776,309		02/02/2001	Peter S. Tevlin	1662-33400JMH 9339 (P00-3376)	
22879	7590	04/11/2005		EXAMINER	
HEWLE'	TT PACK	KARD COMPAN	JAMAL, ALEXANDER		
P O BOX	272400, 3	3404 E. HARMON	Y ROAD		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT CO	FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			2643	
				DATE MAIL ED: 04/11/200	٠

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/776,309	TEVLIN, PETER S.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander Jamal	2643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 08 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or 	Iment, affidavit, or other evidence, we al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR are reply must be filed within one of the of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque the following time per in the final rejection, what ag date of the final reject	lication in est for Continued iods: nichever is later. In ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr jinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)) has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	s of the date of filing	the Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	itter form for appeal by materially re	aucing or simplifying	tne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a): Ilowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			•
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 7. The affidavit or other evidence failed to the showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	intry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by see attached examiner's response to applicant's argum		n condition for allowa	nce because:

CURTIS KUNTZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

13. Other: ____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: narrowed the scope of the computer system in all independent claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/776,309

Art Unit: 2643

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 3-8-2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As per applicant's arguments (remarks page 5) concerning the Isensee reference teaching away from using a physical handset. The embodiment disclosed in Isensee (Col 3 line 62 to Col 4 line) is a computer with a graphical interface of a telephone that enables the user to perform telephone functions with the computer. Insensee further discloses that the interface may provide a speed dial interface (ISENSEE: Col 4 lines 28-55), and further discloses that the computer system interface enables software 'objects' to model their real world equivalent entity and create a framework for a user interface (ISENSEE: Col 5 lines 10-20, Col 6 lines 13-42). Isensee's embodiment is a telephone (comprising a telephone dialer) with a graphical input interface for a user. The Arzoumanian reference teaches a telephone dialer with an inventive output interface. Instead of sending DTMF signals to a telephone line (as implied by Isensee) the Arzoumanian reference sends the signals acoustically (via a speaker) to an additional telephone handset. The applicant's reference to Isensee (Col 4 lines 3-4) is not applicable to the combination of Isensee and Arzoumanian because the handset referred to by Isensee is the handset that is replaced by the computer GUI, not the handset (payphone handset) taught by Arzoumanian. Examiner maintains that there is motivation to combine the references and that Isensee's graphical interface computer system is entirely capable of implementing the functions (the additional method of outputting DTMF

Application/Control Number: 09/776,309 Page 3

Art Unit: 2643

phone number.

signals in the phone dialing process) taught by Arzoumanian. This combination would be useful in situations in which the user does not have access to a telephone line, only to a public telephone or other such device capable of receiving acoustic DTMF tones to dial a

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 703-305-3433. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9315 for After Final communications.

AJ March 21, 2005 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CONTER 2600